Approved For Release 2001/07/24: CIA-RDP67B00820R000200180002-3

OSA -6054/A-63

61.

February 12, 1964

25X1A

Washington, D. C.

25X1A

Dear

Returned herewith are all copies of T. O. 4.


You will note that under paragraph 5 we are authorized to start work upon receipt of a copy of the Task Order. The order is dated 7 January 1964 therefore this paragraph could not be relied upon to cover work prior to 7 January 1964.

The anticipatory cost clause covers work done on or before 16 December 1963. It could not therefore be relied upon to cover work subsequent to 16 December 1963.

A majority of the work was done during the period starting 16 December and ending the 29th of December. There would appear to be no coverage for this period. It is suggested that the anticipatory cost clause be revised to read "on or after" instead of "on or before."

The Delivery article provides for submittal of a final report. Under this Task Order we were to furnish a "data package" which consisted of a compilation of the collected test data. It is suggested that the delivery terms should be appropriately revised to delete a requirement for a final report.

25X1A



Approved For Release 2001/07/24 : CIA-RDP67B00820R000200180082

180**054**36054/A-63

9 March 1964

Memo No. SPM-64-002

Copy No. __1_

25X1A

Memo to:

25X1A

From:

- Enclosures: (A) Copy Nos. 1 and 3 of OSA-6054-64 dated 7 January 1964, Task Order No. 4, Contract No. AF33(657)-12673, File No. CS-1912 (Secret)
 - (B) Receipt for Copy No. 2 of OSA-6054-64 (Package No. 77006)
 - (C) Receipt for Copy No. 12 of OSI-MP-63-5 (Package No. 77006)

Dear Jim:

We are returning herewith executed copies (Nos. 1 and 3) of Task Order No. 4 and the receipt for Copy No. 2. We concur with the changes incorporated. There is still one item in the schedule under Task Order No. 4, however, which could be misinterpreted. We do not feel that this item is of such significance as to require change, but we would like to note that the accuracy stated in Paragraph I.b in the Schedule should read "+3.0 db in the region of (-)20 db below 1.0 m² has been indicated as achievable at the present time." We assume you will concur with this accuracy since (-)20 db below 1.0 m² is the threshold level of background noise in the current facility.

Following our execution of Task Order No. 4, our records indicate that as of 4 March, we need contractual coverage on the following items:

TO No.	Date Cost Est. Submitted	Work <u>Completed</u>
5	12-27-63	1=8-64
6 (voided) 7	1-2-64 2-17-64	1-12-64 2-13-64
8 9 Rev. 10	2-17-04 2-28-64 2-28-64	Not Started Not Started

Approved For Release 2001/07/24 CHA-RDP67B00820R000200180002-3

Memo No. SPM-64-002

-2-

9 March 1964

We are also returning Enclosure (C) receipt.

